

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,787	10/19/1999	TED DANIELS	M3850.0029/P	3297
7:	590 11/29/2002			
Perkins Coie LLP			EXAMINER	
1201 3rd Aveno Seattle, WA 9			CHOW, DOON Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	11
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	ert arphi

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	D
	09/420,787	DANIELS, TED	"
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dennis-Doon Chow	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirt I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	August 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	i Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	J. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
4) Claim(s) 2-4,6-16,18-24,26-28 and 31-34 is/a	are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-16,18-24,26-28 and 31-34</u> is/a	re rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		ha Francisca	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accelling a			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		арриотов 2) ию =лапиноп	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	-	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	•	ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has be	een received.	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	suc priority under 35 U.S.C.	99 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∆\	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
 1) Notice of References Cited (P10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- •

. - Application/Control Number: 09/420,787

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 28 recites the limitation "said removable wireless input device". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. (5400213) in view of Oka (5049863) and Merkel (5510953).

Honda discloses a portable personal computer, comprising: a base having a controller for controlling operations thereof; a display attached to the base; and a removable keyboard for communicating with the controller in the base through a signal interface when mounted into or removed from the base (see Figs. 1-2).

Honda does not disclose the signal interface being wireless.

Oka, in the same input field, discloses a removable input member for communicating with a base unit through a signal interface which includes a wireless infrared connection or a hardwired connection which includes a first and second connectors (Figs. 7, 9, 10). The removable input member includes a power supply for

. Application/Control Number: 09/420,787

Art Unit: 2675

supplying power to the removable input member when the wireless communication is used (see Fig. 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute Oka' wireless connection for Honda's hardwired connection because Oka teaches both wireless and hardwired connection can be used.

Honda does not explicitly disclose using the recess for receiving one side surface of the keyboard.

Merkel, in the same input field, discloses a mounting structure for mounting a removable keyboard into a computer base unit. The structure comprises a recess for receiving a side surface of the keyboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Merkel's concept in Honda's invention because Merkel's mounting structure is very simple and it is ease to make.

Regarding claims 6-8, using a pointing device such a track ball or a touch pad in the keyboard is well known in the art.

Regarding to claims 11 and 15, using a radio frequency connection instead of the infrared connection in the wireless communication is well known in the art.

1. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Oka and Merkel as applied to claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-16 and 18-21 above, and further in view of Brusky et al. (5903259).

The modified Honda does not disclose using two infrared devices.

. Application/Control Number: 09/420,787

Art Unit: 2675

Brusky, in the same input art, discloses using more than one infrared devices for transmitting infrared signals from a remote keyboard to a computer base unit (see Fig. 2), wherein each of the infrared devices is located in each side of the keyboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Brusky's infrared devices in the invention of the modified Honda because more infrared devices provide better transmission.

4. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Oka and Merkel as applied to claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-16 and 18-21 above, and further in view of Klein et al (6205021).

The modified Honda does not disclose the use of a spring ball bearing.

Klein, in the same input art, discloses a mounting structure for mounting an input device into a computer base unit. The mounting structure comprises a spring ball bearing (38 Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Klein's spring ball bearing in the invention of the modified Honda. By doing so, a good contact can be created between the keyboard and the base.

5. Claims 26, 28, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. (5400213) in view of Oka (5049863).

Honda discloses a portable personal computer, comprising: a base having a controller for controlling operations thereof; a display attached to the base; and a removable keyboard for communicating with the controller in the base through a signal interface when mounted into or removed from the base (see Figs. 1-2).

. Application/Control Number: 09/420,787

provide better transmission.

Art Unit: 2675

Honda does not disclose the signal interface being wireless.

Oka, in the same input field, discloses a removable input member for communicating with base unit through a signal interface which includes a wireless infrared connection or a hardwired connection which includes a first and second connectors (Figs. 7, 9, 10). The removable input member includes a power supply for supplying power to the removable input member when the wireless communication is used (see Fig. 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute Oka' wireless connection for Honda's hardwired connection because Oka teaches both wireless and hardwired connection can be used.

6. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Oka as applied to claims 26, 28, and 30-33 above, and further in view of Brusky et al. (5903259).

The modified Honda does not disclose using two infrared devices.

2), wherein each of the infrared devices is located in each side of the keyboard.

Brusky, in the same input art, discloses using more than one infrared devices for transmitting infrared signals from a remote keyboard to a computer base unit (see Fig.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Brusky's infrared devices in the invention of the modified Honda because more infrared devices

Application/Control Number: 09/420,787

Art Unit: 2675

7. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Oka as applied to claims 26, 28, and 30-33 above, and further in view of Klein et al (6205021).

The modified Honda does not disclose the use of a spring ball bearing.

Klein, in the same input art, discloses a mounting structure for mounting an input device into a computer base unit. The mounting structure comprises a spring ball bearing (38 Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Klein's spring ball bearing in the invention of the modified Honda. By doing so, a good contact can be created between the keyboard and the base.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Merkel and Batra et al. teach a removable keyboard.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

'Application/Control Number: 09/420,787

Art Unit: 2675

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

D. Chow November 25, 2002

> DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER